




## CA100+ Industry Association Reviews - Examples of Better Practice

While InfluenceMap did not find an example of best practice across the entire industry association review process, some companies have demonstrated better practice under specific metrics under the 'Disclosure' and 'Policy Alignment Process' assessments.

The tables below highlight, for each indicator, the criteria for companies to meet investor expectations and examples of better practice by companies to date. The full methodology can be found [here](#).

### Disclosure

Corporate climate policy positions and influencing activities	
To meet investor expectations under this indicator: The company has disclosed a detailed and clearly referenced breakdown of its own climate policy positions and influencing activities beyond 'top-line' climate statements. This includes descriptions of the company's positions and policy engagement activities on specific items of regulation and legislation which are material to the company's operations, business sector, and/or the region(s) in which it operates.	
	<i>Shell</i> has disclosed six detailed climate policy positions in its 2021 review including net-zero emissions and carbon pricing. Shell's 2020 update also outlined the company's position on specific climate policies including the EU Green Deal and methane regulation in the EU and US. The 2021 review also includes a clear reference to a list of climate policy positions and examples of Shell's advocacy on its website.
Industry association climate policy positions and influencing activities	
To meet investor expectations under this indicator: The company has disclosed a detailed and accurate account of the climate policy positions and influencing activities of each industry association actively engaged on climate change policy, including descriptions of positions and policy engagement activities on specific items of regulation and legislation beyond 'top-line' statements.	
N/A	No companies have met investor expectations in this area, although <i>BASF</i> and <i>Shell</i> exhibit current leading practice. Both companies have disclosed a detailed account of all key industry associations' climate policy positions, and a summary of their influencing activities. However, they appear to overlook detailed negative lobbying by a number of industry associations identified by InfluenceMap's database.
Alignment assessment method	
To meet investor expectations under this indicator: The company has: (1) disclosed a clear and detailed framework for assessing alignment with its industry associations across all relevant areas of policy engagement; (2) consistently applied this framework across <i>all</i> industry associations; and (3) provided a clear and detailed explanation behind each evaluation.	
	<i>BASF</i> has disclosed a clear explanation of its alignment assessment method along with a clear and detailed explanation of how it has been applied to each industry association. The company also provided specific alignment indicators for EU climate policy such as the EU ETS to assess the alignment of key European industry associations.
Framework for addressing misalignment	
To meet investor expectations under this indicator: The company has disclosed a clear and detailed framework for addressing misalignments with its industry associations including escalation steps and clear deadlines for industry associations which do not amend misaligned practices.	
	<i>BHP</i> has disclosed clear and detailed steps for addressing potential misalignment, including an escalation strategy and clear timelines attached. The company states it will communicate material differences, request that the industry association develop a position or refrain from advocacy in certain areas, and review the membership if there has been no action within 12 months.

## Policy Alignment Process

Identify & Assess	
<p>To meet investor expectations under this indicator: The company has identified all cases of misalignment with its industry associations and the Paris Agreement in line with InfluenceMap's <i>database</i> on corporate lobbying.</p>	
N/A	<p>Although <i>AGL Energy</i> has demonstrated some progress in this area, no companies have met investor expectations. InfluenceMap analysis indicates that all companies have missed key cases of misalignment with industry associations lobbying counter to the goals of the Paris Agreement.</p>
Monitor & Review	
<p>To meet investor expectations under this indicator: The company has published a review of industry associations on an annual basis, has committed to do so at least once a year, or is/has committed to disclose regular updates on its review and alignment process. Updates should accurately report on relevant material and on-going lobbying activities of potentially misaligned industry associations, as well as the company's alignment and engagement with the industry association concerning these activities.</p>	
	<p><i>Shell</i> has published full industry association reviews in 2019 and 2021. In April 2020, Shell also published an update on the nine associations with some misalignment found in 2019 including actions taken within each association, key changes to the associations' climate positions and detailed next steps. Shell has committed to publish its next update in 2022.</p>
Act	
<p>To meet investor expectations under this indicator: The company has shown evidence of action to address all cases of misalignment with its industry associations and the Paris Agreement, in line with InfluenceMap's <i>database</i> on corporate lobbying. The investor expectations outlined by <i>PRI</i>, <i>IIGCC</i> and <i>Ceres</i> include several steps companies can take to address misalignment. Steps should include terminating memberships or taking specific action to reform the detailed and material lobbying activities undertaken by misaligned organizations.</p>	
N/A	<p>No companies have met investor expectations in this area by showing evidence of action to address all cases of misalignment identified by InfluenceMap's database, although some companies have made more progress. <i>Total</i> announced in January 2021 that it had decided not to renew its membership to the American Petroleum Institute due to divergences on climate positions. <i>BHP</i> suspended its membership to Queensland Resources Council in 2020 following its 'Vote Greens Last' advertising campaign and also outlined detailed actions to be taken at four "partly aligned" industry associations. <i>Chevron</i> has not left any industry associations but has disclosed its engagement on specific climate change policy issues with seven industry associations, including details of the results of this engagement.</p>